Journal of Management Policies and Practices
March 2014, Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 119-133
ISSN: 2333-6048 (Print), 2333-6056 (Online)
Copyright © The Author(s). 2014. All Rights Reserved.
American Research Institute for Policy Development
42 Monticello Street, New York, NY 12701, USA.
Phone: 1.347.757.4901 Website: www.aripd.org/jmpp

The Effect of CEO Age on CEO Compensation using Accounting Performance as a Benchmark: An Empirical Study on NYSE Index Companies

Dr. Yusuf Mohammed Nulla¹

Abstract

This research study investigated the effect of CEO age on CEO compensation using accounting performance as an independent variable or benchmark on NYSE companies from 2005 to 2010. The quantitative research and stratified sample methods were selected for this research. The research question for this study was: is there a relationship between CEO compensation and CEO age using accounting performance as a benchmark. It was found that there was a relationship between CEO salary, CEO bonus, CEO total compensation, CEO age, and accounting performance between CEO age groups except for the relationship between: CEO bonus and accounting performance in CEO age group from 40 to 45 years; CEO bonus and accounting performance in CEO age group from 51 to 55 years; and CEO bonus and accounting performance in CEO age group from 61 to 65 years. The correlations between CEO salary, CEO bonus, CEO total compensation, CEO age, return on assets, return on equity, earnings per share, cash flow per share, net profit margin, common stocks outstanding, book and market values of common stocks outstanding were ranged from weak negative to strong positive ratios.

Index Terms: CEO compensation, accounting performance, CEO age, net profit margin, NYSE CEO salary, and NYSE CEO bonus

¹Ph.D.,D.Phil., MSc, MBA, B.Comm. E-mail: yusuf.nulla@ugsm-monarch.ch

Introduction

The purpose of this research is to understand in-depth the effect of CEO age on CEO compensation system using accounting performance as a benchmark in the NYSE companies from 2005 to 2010. This study in executive compensation will reveal some scientific methodologies or trends to understand the nature and extent of the relationship between CEO salary, CEO bonus, CEO total compensation, and CEO age groups. This study, as part of the series of articles on CEO compensation is conducted primarily due to, over the past decade, the United States public has raised concerns of bonuses declared to CEOs by their board of directors. That is, they believed that CEO should only be re-warded based on firm performance. As such, failure to understand the determinants of CEO compensation has led to blame CEOs of rent grabbing, misused of his power towards the board, and his monopolization of the compensation system. Thus, these ever growing concerns bring to foreground conclusion the need to further study CEO compensation system. As such, this article focused on one aspect of executive compensation study, that is, the impact of CEO age on CEO compensation.

The CEOs and the other executives would like to eliminate the risk exposure on their compensation packages by decoupling pay and performance and linking it to a more stable factor, firm size. This strategy indeed deviates from obtaining the optimum results from the principal agent contract. The literature finds to have limited studies on this relationship as such further research need to be conducted to understand in clear terms the nature and extent of the relationship between them. As such, this research will use eight accounting variables to understand the effect of CEO age on CEO compensation, namely: return on assets (ROA), return on equity (ROE), earnings per share (EPS), cash flow per share (CFPS), net profit margin (NPM), book value per common stocks outstanding (BVCSO), and market value per common stocks outstanding (MVCSO).

Literature Review

CEO Compensation and CEO Age

The Deckop (1988) argued that CEO age has little effect on CEO compensation.

However, Finkelstein and Hambrick (1989) find an inverted U-shaped relationship between CEO age and CEO cash compensation, indicating, CEO cash compensation increases until CEO reached the age of 59 years and then it starts to decline. This is consistent with the view that earnings over time is in line with CEO's need for cash, which tends to drop off as he or she gets older due to no major expenditures to incur such as, house and child rearing expenses. This is supported by McKnight et al. (2000), who find that CEO compensation is positively related to a certain age, but it starts to decline afterward. This is further supported by Weir (2000), who finds that the relationship between CEO salaries and CEO age are significantly related but have weakened over time, and the relationship between CEO age and CEO bonus appears nonlinear in nature. That is, at about age 53, the proportion of bonus as a percentage of salary begins to decrease at an increase rate. On the other hand, according to Gibbons and Murphy (1992), who finds that CEO age is a well recognized determinant of compensation and have shown to be significantly related to CEO pay. Overall, previous studies have found the relationship between CEO compensation and CEO age as curvilinear. However, previous studies have lacked detail investigation of this relationship.

Research Methodology

This research is numerical, objective, and statistical as such, has adopted the quantitative research method. The longitudinal study approach has been selected to study the corporate financial records from 2005 to 2010. The random sample method is selected to obtain a total sampling population of one hundred and twenty companies from NYSE index companies. For the statistical tests, CEO compensation is assigned as dependent variable, accounting performance is assigned as independent variable, and CEO age as a control variable. The total of eighteen statistical models were created to address the research question of this study. The survey method is selected to conduct surveys of one hundred and twenty companies. The data of sampled companies are obtained from EDGAR database. The linear regression method is selected and 95% confidence level is assumed for statistical tests.

Data Findings and Conclusions

CEO Compensation And Firm Size

Table 1 (Regression Analysis - ANOVA)

	Salary	Bonus	Total Compensation
CEO Age	F(8,21)=3.090	F(8,21)=1.111	F(8,21)=3.871
40-45 yrs.	p=.018	p=.395	p=.006
	$R^2 = .541$	R ² =.297	R ² =.596
CEO Age	F(8,64)=4.232	F(8,64)=3.949	F(8,61)=7.009
46-50 yrs.	p=.000	p=.001	p=.000
	R ² =.346	R ² =.330	R ² =.479
CEO Age	F(8,139)=3.283	F(8,149)=1.850	F(8,145)=3.096
51-55 yrs.	p=.002	p=.072	p=.003
	R ² =.159	R ² =.093	R ² =.146
CEO Age	F(8,157)=10.924	F(8,157)=2.382	F(8,157)=31.969
56-60 yrs.	p=.000	p=.019	p=.000
	$R^2 = .358$	R ² =.108	R ² =.620
CEO Age	F(8,59)=151.465	F(8,54)=1.810	F(8,57)=57.625
61-65 yrs.	p=.000	p=.095	p=.000
	R ² =.954	R ² =.211	R ² =.890
CEO Age	F(8,79)=21.426	F(8,75)=46.298	F(8,80)=16.299
\geq 66 yrs.	p=.000	p=.000	p=.000
	R ² =.685	R ² =.832	R ² =.620

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) results is based on the linear regression test. It had shown that there was a relationship between CEO salary, CEO bonus, CEO total compensation, CEO age, and accounting performance between CEO age groups except for the relationship between: CEO bonus and accounting performance in CEO age group 40 to 45 years; CEO bonus and accounting performance in CEO age group 51 to 55 years; and CEO bonus and accounting performance in CEO age group 61 to 65 years. The relationships between CEO salary, CEO age, and accounting performance were characterized as weak to strong ratios, indicated model fitness varies with CEO age groups. Similarly, the relationships between CEO bonus, CEO age, and accounting performance were characterized as weak to strong ratios.

The relationships between CEO total compensation, CEO age, and accounting performance were characterized as weak to strong ratios. Overall, short-term salary and total compensation had materially influenced by accounting performance, across all CEO age groups. However, CEO age groups have no influence on the relationships between them. On the other hand, CEO bonus models were weakly influenced by accounting performance yet they have been influenced by CEO age groups.

CEO Salary, CEO Age, and Accounting Performance

	CEO AGE(YRS.)						
	40-45	46-50	51-55	56-60	61-65	≥ 66	
SALARY	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Return on Assets	0.119	-0.11	-0.03	0.036	0.056	0.045	
Return on Equity	0.23	0.133	-O	-0.04	-0.01	-0.03	
Earnings per Share	0.068	0.259	0.049	0.262	0.92	0.228	
Cash flow per Share	0.248	0.034	-0.07	-0.05	0.059	0.205	
Net Profit Margin	0.157	0.069	0.074	0.535	0.527	0.246	
Common Stocks Outstanding	0.458	0.504	0.167	0.354	0.536	0.529	
Book Value per Share	-0.16	0.348	0.074	0.341	0.224	0.413	
Market Value per Share	0.552	0.329	0.376	0.482	0.422	0.617	

Table 2 - Correlations (CEO Salary vs. Accounting Performance)

The correlation results between CEO salary and return on assets across all CEO age groups were characterized as weak negative to weak positive ratios. That is, the correlations were .119, -.106, -.026, .036, .056, and .045 respectively, indicated return on assets had negligible impact on CEO salary among all CEO age groups. Similarly, the correlation results between CEO salary and return on equity across all CEO age groups were also characterized as weak negative to weak positive ratios. That is, the correlations were .230, .133, -.004, -.043, -.007, and -.031 respectively, indicated return on equity too had negligible impact on CEO salary among all CEO age groups. However, inconsistencies in correlations indicated that CEO age groups had materially influenced.

In addition, the correlations between them had further weakened as a CEO age group had increased. The correlation results between CEO salary and earnings per share across all CEO age groups were characterized as weak to strong positive ratios. That is, the correlations were .068, .259, .049, .262, .920, and .228 respectively, indicated earnings per share had weak to moderate influence on CEO salary between 40 and 60 years age, and had a strong influence on CEO salary between 61 to 65 years age. However, since all results were positively correlated as such CEO age had a weak to negligible influence on the correlations between them. The correlation results between CEO salary and cash flow per share across all CEO age groups were characterized as weak negative to weak positive ratios. That is, the correlations were .248, .034, -.068, -.046, .059, and .205 respectively, indicated overall that CEO age had significant influenced among them.

The correlation results between CEO salary and net profit margin across all CEO age groups were characterized as weak to good positive ratios. That is, the correlations were .157, .069, .074, .535, .527, and .246 respectively, indicated net profit margin had a weak positive influence on CEO salary between 40 and 55 years age and had good positive influence between 61 to 65 years age. As such, net profit margin too had influenced positively to CEO salary irrespective of CEO age group, indicated CEO age was also irrelevant to CEO salary. The correlation results between CEO salary and common stocks outstanding across all CEO age groups were characterized as weak to good positive ratios. That is, the correlations were .458, .504, .167, .354, .536, and .529 respectively. As such, common stocks outstanding too had influenced positively to CEO salary irrespective of CEO age group, indicated CEO age was also irrelevant between their relationships.

The correlation results between CEO salary and book value per share across all CEO age groups were characterized as weak to good positive ratios. That is, the correlations were -.161, .348, .074, .341, .224, and .413 respectively, indicated common stocks outstanding had weak negative to moderate positive influence on CEO salary. However, since correlations were mixed ratios as such CEO age had a weak influence between their relationships. The correlation results between CEO salary and market value per share across all CEO age groups were characterized as moderate to strong positive ratios. That is, the correlations were .552, .329, .376, .482, .422, and .617 respectively.

As such, market value per share too had influenced positively to CEO salary irrespective of CEO age group, indicated CEO age was also irrelevant between their relationships.

CEO Bonus, Accounting Performance, and CEO Age

Table 3 – Correlations (CEO Bonus vs. Accounting Performance)

	CEO AGE (YRS.)						
	40-45	46-50	51-55	56-60	61-65	≥ 66	
BONUS	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Return on Assets	-0.04	0.092	0.141	0.135	-O	-0.01	
Return on Equity	-0.12	-0.03	0.044	0.067	0.122	0.001	
Earnings per Share	-0.08	0.159	-0.07	0.219	-O.11	0.038	
Cash Flow per Share	-O.1	-0.04	-0.01	-0.08	-0.26	-0.01	
Net Profit Margin	0.061	0.238	0.085	0.094	-0.15	0.128	
Common Stocks Outstanding	0.368	0.269	0.116	0.143	-0.31	0.626	
Book Value per Share	0.088	0.41	0.192	-0.04	-0.18	0.633	
Market Value per Share	0.067	0.146	-0.01	0.01	-0.24	0.565	

The correlation results between CEO bonus and return on assets across all CEO age groups were characterized as weak negative to weak positive ratios. That is, the correlations were -.036, .092, .141, .135, -.002, and -.008 respectively, indicated return on assets had negligible impact on CEO bonus among all CEO age groups. Similarly, the correlation results between CEO bonus and return on equity across all CEO age groups were also characterized as weak negative to weak positive ratios. That is, the correlations were -.122, -.032, .044, .067, .122, and .001 respectively, indicated return on equity too had negligible impact on CEO bonus among all CEO age groups. The correlation results between CEO bonus and earnings per share across all CEO age groups were also characterized as a weak negative to weak positive ratios.

That is, the correlations were -.083, .159, -.065, .219, -.106, and .038 respectively, indicated earnings per share too had negligible impact on CEO bonus among all CEO age groups. The correlation results between CEO bonus and cash flow per share across all CEO age groups were characterized as weak negative ratios.

That is, the correlations were -.098, -.038, -.012, -.081, -.264, and -.012 respectively, indicated overall it was irrelevant to CEO bonus. The correlation results between CEO bonus and net profit margin across all CEO age groups were characterized as weak negative to weak positive ratios. That is, the correlations were .061, .238, .085, .094, -.149, and .128 respectively, indicated cash flow per share too had negligible impact on CEO bonus among all CEO age groups. The correlation results between CEO bonus and common stocks outstanding across all CEO age groups were characterized as weak to good positive ratios. That is, the correlations were .368, .269, .116, .143, -.134, and .626 respectively. The correlation results between CEO bonus and book value per share across all CEO age groups were characterized as weak to good positive ratios. That is, the correlations were .088, .410, .192, -.043, -.183, and .633 respectively. The correlation results between CEO bonus and market value per share across all CEO age groups were characterized as moderate to good positive ratios. That is, the correlations were .067, .146, -.013, .010, -.240, and .565 respectively. In addition, CEO age had materially influenced the correlation between CEO bonus and accounting performance.

CEO Total Compensation, Accounting Performance, And CEO Age

Table 4 – Correlations (CEO Total Compensation vs. Accounting Performance)

	CEO AGE (YRS.)						
	40-45	46-50	51-55	56-60	61-65	≥ 66	
TOTAL COMPENSATION	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Return on Assets	0.168	-0.02	0.067	0.119	0.157	0.21	
Return on Equity	0.228	0.293	0.057	-0.02	-0.09	0.09	
Earnings per Share	0.232	0.209	0.025	0.296	0.519	0.12	
Cash Flow per Share	0.236	0.208	-0.06	-0.08	0.247	0.17	
Net Profit Margin	0.444	0.232	0.081	0.737	0.523	0.16	
Common Stocks Outstanding	0.384	0.494	0.289	0.56	0.819	0.45	
Book Value per Share	-0.13	0.509	0.097	0.508	0.649	0.31	
Market Value per Share	0.661	0.407	0.313	0.671	0.804	0.65	

The correlation results between CEO total compensation and return on assets

across all CEO age groups were characterized as weak negative to weak positive ratios.

That is, the correlations were .168, -.017, .067, .119, .157, and .209 respectively, indicated return on assets had negligible impact on CEO total compensation among all CEO age groups. Similarly, the correlation results between CEO total compensation and return on equity across all CEO age groups were also characterized as weak negative to moderate positive ratios. That is, the correlations were .228, .293, .057, -.017, -.085, and .091 respectively, indicated CEO age had material influenced on the relationship between them. The correlation results between CEO total compensation and earnings per share across all CEO age groups were characterized as weak to strong positive ratios. That is, the correlations were .232, .209, .025, .296, .519, and .118 respectively, indicated it was also irrelevant to CEO total compensation. As such, earnings per share was irrelevant to all CEO age groups. The correlation results between CEO total compensation and cash flow per share across all CEO age groups were characterized as weak negative to weak positive ratios. That is, the correlations were .236, .208, -.060, -.076, .247, and .174 respectively, indicated that CEO age had material influenced on the relationship between them. The correlation results between CEO total compensation and net profit margin across all CEO age groups were characterized as weak to strong positive ratios.

That is, the correlations were .444, .232, .081, .737, .523, and .158 respectively, indicated common stocks outstanding had weak to good positive influence on CEO total compensation. However, it also had indicated that CEO age had no influence on the relationship between them. The correlation results between CEO total compensation and common stocks outstanding across all CEO age groups were characterized as weak to strong positive ratios. That is, the correlations were .384, .494, .289, .560, .819, and .450 respectively. As such, common stocks outstanding too had influenced positively to CEO total compensation irrespective of CEO age group, indicated CEO age was also irrelevant to CEO total compensation. The correlation results between CEO total compensation and book value per share across all CEO age groups were characterized as weak to good positive ratios. That is, the correlations were -.125, .509, .097, .508, .649, and .313 respectively, indicated common stocks outstanding had weak negative to good positive influence on CEO total compensation. However, it also had indicated that CEO age was irrelevant to CEO total compensation.

The correlation results between CEO total compensation and market value per

share across all CEO age groups were characterized as moderate to strong positive ratios. That is, the correlations were .661, .407, .313, As such, market value per share too had influenced positively to CEO total compensation irrespective of CEO age group, indicated that CEO age was also irrelevant to the relationship between them.

Conclusion

Overall, there was a relationship between CEO salary, CEO bonus, CEO total compensation, CEO age, and accounting performance between CEO age groups except for the relationship between: CEO bonus and accounting performance in CEO age group from 40 to 45 years; CEO bonus and accounting performance in CEO age group from 51 to 55 years; and CEO bonus and accounting performance in CEO age group from 61 to 65 years. The correlations between CEO salary, CEO bonus, CEO age, return on assets, return on equity, earnings per share, cash flow per share, net profit margin, common stocks outstanding, book and market values of common stocks outstanding were ranged from weak negative to strong positive ratios. However, CEO age groups had no influence on the relationships between CEO salary, CEO bonus, CEO total compensation, and accounting performance.

References

- Agrawal A, and Knoeber, C.R. (1996). Firm performance and mechanisms to control agency problems between managers and shareholders. Journal of Finance Quantitative Analysis, Vol. 31(3), pp. 377-397.
- Allen, M.P. (1974). The Structure of inter-organizational elite co-optation. American Sociological Review, Vol. 39, pp. 393-406.
- Amould, Richard J. (1985). Agency costs in Banking Firms: An Analysis of Expense Preference Behaviour. Journal of Economics and Business, Vol. 37, pp. 103-112.
- Antle, Rick, and Smith, Abbie (1986). An Empirical Investigation of the Relative Performance Evaluation of Corporate Executives. Journal of Accounting Research, Vol. 24, No. 1 (Spring), pp. 1-39.
- Baiman, Stanley, Evans ITI, John H., and Noel, James (1987). Optimal Contracts with a Utility- Maximizing Auditor. Journal of Accounting Research, Vol. 25, pp. 217-244.
- Baiman, Stanley, Evans ITI, John, and Nagarajan, Nadu (1991). Collusion in Auditing. Journal of Accounting Research, Vol. 29, pp. 1-18.
- Bertrand, Marianno and Mullainathan, Sendhil (2001). Are CEO's Rewarded for Luck? The Ones Without Principals Are. Quarterly Journal of Economics, pp. 901-932.
- Blanchard, Olivier Jean, Lopez-de-Selanes, Florencio, and Shleifer, Andrei (1994). What do Firms do with Cash windfalls. Journal of Financial Economics, Vol. 36 (3), pp. 337-360.
- Blazenco, George W., and Scott, William R. (1987). A Mode1 of Standard Setting in Auditing. Contemporary Accounting Research, Vol. 3, pp. 68-92.

- Bushman, R. M., Indjejikian, R. J., and Smith, A. (1995). Aggregate performance measures in business unit manager compensation: The role of intra-firm interdependencies. Journal of Accounting Research, Vol. 33, pp. 101-127.
- Conyon, M. J. (2006). Executive compensation and incentives. Academy of Management Perspective, Vol. 20, pp. 25-44.
- Coughan, Anne T., and Schmidt, Ronald M. (1985). Executive Compensation, Management Turnover, and Firm Performance: an Empirical Investigation. Journal of Accounting and Economics. Vol. 7, Nos. 1-3 (April), pp. 43-66.
- Cyert, Richard, Sok-Hyon, Kang, and Praveen Kumar (2002). Corporate Governance, Takeovers, and Top-Management Compensation: Theory and Evidence. Management Science. Vol. 48 (4), pp. 453-469.
- Dechow, Patricia M. (1994). Accounting earnings and Cash Flows as measures of firm performance: The role of accounting accruals. Journal of Accounting and Economics. Vol. 18, pp. 3-42.
- Deckop, John R. (1988). Determinants of Chief Executive Officer Compensation. Industrial and Labor Relations Review, Vol. 41, No. 2, pp. 215-226.
- Demsetz, H. and Lehn, Kenneth (1985). The Structure of Corporate Ownership: Causes and Consequences. Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 93(6), pp. 1155-1177.
- Ellig, B. (1984). Incentive plans: over the long-term. Compensation Review, Vol. 16(3), pp. 39-54.
- Finkelstein, S. & Boyd, B. K. (1998). How much does CEO matter? The role of managerial discretion in the setting of CEO compensation. Academy of Management Journal, Vol. 41, pp. 179-199.
- Finkelstein S. and Hambrick, D. (1989). Chief executive compensation: A Study of the intersection of markets and political processes. Strategic Management Journal, 10 (2), pp. 121-134.
- Finkelstein S. and Hambrick, D. (1996). Strategic Leadership: Top Executive and their Effects on Organization. West Publishing: New York.
- Firth, M., Tam, M., & Tang, M. (1999). The determinants of top management pay. International Journal of Management Science. 27 (6), pp. 617-635.
- Garvey, G. and Milbourn, T. (2006), 'Asymmetric Benchmarking in Compensation: Executives Are Rewarded for Good Luck but not penalized for Best', Journal of Financial Economics, Vol. 82, pp. 197-225.
- Gaver, J. J., and Gaver, K. M. (1998), 'The relation between nonrecurring accounting charges and CEO cash compensation', The Accounting Review, Vol. 73, pp. 235-253.
- Gerhart, B., Rynes, S. L. & Fulmer, I. S. (2009), 'Pay and performance: Individuals, groups, and executives. In J. P. Walsh & A. P. Brief (Eds.), Academy of Management annals, Vol. 3, pp. 251-315.
- Gibbons, Robert, and Murphy, Kevin J. (1990), "Relative Performance Evaluation for Chief Executive Officers", Industrial and Labor Relations Review, Vol. 43, No. 3, pp. 30S-51S.
- Gilson, S. C., and Vetsuypens (1993), 'CEO Compensation in Financially Distressed Firms: An Empirical Analysis', Journal of Finance, Vol. 48, No. 2, pp. 425-458.

- Gregg, P. Machin, S., & Szymanski, S. (1993), 'The disappearing relationship between director's pay and corporate performance', British Journal of Industrial Relations, Vol. 31 (1), pp. 1-9.
- Healy, P. (1985), 'The Effect of Bonus Schemes on Accounting Decisions', Journal of Accounting and Economics, Vol. 7, pp. 85-107.
- Himmelberg CP, Hubbard RG, and Palia D. (1999), 'Understanding the determinants of managerial ownership and the link between ownership and performance', Journal of Finance Economics, Vol.. 53(3), pp. 353-384.
- Holmstrom, Begt (1979), 'Moral Hazard and Observaility', Bell Journal of Economics, Vol. 10 (1), pp. 74-91.
- Holthausen, R., Larcker, D. and Sloan, R. (1995a), 'Annual bonus schemes and the manipulation of earnings', Journal of Accounting and Economics, Vol. 19, pp. 29-74.
- Indjejikion, Raffi J. and Manda, Dhananjay (2002), 'Executive Target Bonuses and what they imply about Performance Standards, The Accounting Review, Vol. 77(47), pp. 793-819.
- Iyengar, Raghavan J. (2000), 'CEO Compensation In Poorly Performing Firms', Journal of Applied Business Research, Vol. 16, Issue 3, pp.1-28.
- Jacobson, Robert (1987), 'The validity of ROI as a measure of business performance', American Economic Review, Vol. 77, pp. 470-478.
- Jensen M., and Murphy, K. (1985), "Management Compensation And The Managerial Labor Market", Journal of Accounting and Economics, Vol. 7, No. 1-3, pp. 3-9.
- Jensen M., and Murphy, K. (1990), 'Performance pay and top management incentives', Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 98, pp. 225-264.
- Jensen M., and Murphy, K. (1990b), 'CEO Incentives: It's not how much you pay but how', Harvard Business Review, Vol. 68, No. 3, pp. 138-153.
- Jensen M., and Murphy, K. (2010), 'CEO incentives It's not how much pay, but how', Journal of Applied Corporate Finance, Vol. 22, pp. 64-76.
- Jensen, Michael C., and Meckling, William H. (1976), 'Theory of the firm: Managerial behaviour, agency costs and ownership structure, Journal of Financial Economics, Vol. 3, pp. 305-360.
- Jensen, Michael C., and Ruback, Richard S. (1983), 'The market for corporate control', Journal of Financial Economics, Vol. 11, pp. 5-50.
- Jensen, Michael C. and Zimmerman, Jerold L. (1985), "Management Compensation And The Managerial Lbor Market", Journal of Accounting and Economics, Vol. 7, No. 1-3, pp. 3-9.
- John, T. A. and John, K. (1993), 'Top-Management Compensation and Capital Structure', The Journal of Finance, Vol. XLVIII, Vol. 3, pp. 949-974.
- Johnson, Geroge E., Hamarmesh, Daniel S., Weisburod, Burton H. (1982), Scholarship, Citations and Salaries: Economic Rewards in Economic', Southern Economic Journal, Vol. 49, pp. 472-481.
- Johnson, Geroge E., Hamarmesh, Daniel S., Weisburod, Burton H. (1982), Scholarship, Citations and Salaries: Economic Rewards in Economic', Southern Economic Journal, Vol. 49, pp. 472-481.
- Kren, L., and Kerr, J. L. (1997), 'The effects of outside directors and board shareholdings on the relation between chief executive compensation and firm performance', Accounting and Business Research, Vol. 27, pp. 297-309.

- Lambert, R., and Larker, D. (1987), 'An Analysis of the Use of Accounting and Market Measures of Performance in executive Compensation Contracts, Journal of Accounting Research, Vol. 25 (suppl.) pp. 85-125.
- Landsman, Wayne R., and Shapiro, Alan C. (1989), 'Tobin;s q and the relationship between accounting ROI and economic return, Accounting working paper no. 89-3 (Anderson Graduate school of Management).
- Leone, A. J., and Rock, S. (2002), 'Empirical tests of budget ratcheting and its effect on managers; discretionary accrual choices', Journal of Accounting and Economics, Vol. 33, pp. 43-68.
- Leone, A., Wu, J., and Zimmerman, J. (2006), 'Asymmetric sensitivity of CEO cash compensation to stock returns', Journal of Accounting and Economics, Vol. 42, pp. 167-192.
- Mehran, H. (1992), 'Executive Incentive Plans, Corporate Control, and Capital Structure', Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, Col. 27, pp. 539-560.
- Mehran, H. (1995), 'Executive compensation structure, ownership, and firm performance' Journal of Financial Economics, Vol. 38: 163-184.
- Murphy, Kevin J. (1985), 'Corporate performance and managerial remuneration, Journal of Accounting and Statistics, Vol. 7, pp. 11-42.
- Murphy, K. J. (1986), 'Incentives, learning and compensation: A theoretical and empirical investigation of managerial labor contracts', Rand Journal of Economics, Vol. 7, pp. 105-131.
- Murphy, Kevin J. (1999), 'Executive Compensation', Handbook of Labor Economics, Vol. III, Amsterdam: North-Holland, pp. 2485-2563.
- Murphy K. J. and Gibbons, R. (1989), 'Optimal Incentive Contracts in the Presence of Career Concerns: Theory and Evidence', pp. 90-109.
- Murphy, K. J., and Oyer, P. (2002), Discretion in executive incentive contracts: Theory and evidence, Working paper, University of Southern California and Stanford University.
- Murphy, K. R. and Slater, M. (1975), 'Should CEO pay be linked to results?', Harvard Business Review, vol. 53(3), pp. 66-73.
- Natarajan, R. (1996), 'Stewardship Value of Earnings Components: Additional Evidence on the Determination of Executive Compensation', The Accounting Review, Vol. 71, No. 1, pp.1-22.
- Nwaeze, E. T., Yang, S.M., and Yin, Q.J. (2006). "Accounting Information and CEO Compensation: The Role of Cash Flow from Operations in the Presence of Earnings." Contemporary Accounting Research 23(1): 227 265.
- Nulla, Yusuf Mohammed (2012), 'The Accounting relationship between CEO Cash Compensation and Firm Size in TSX/S&P companies', International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research, Volume 3, Issue 7 (July).
- Nulla, Yusuf Mohammed (2012), 'The CEO Compensation System of New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) Technology Companies: An Empirical Study between CEO Compensation, Firm Size, Firm Performance, and CEO Power', International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research, Volume 3, Issue 8 (August).

- Nulla, Yusuf Mohammed (2012), 'Is Accounting Net Profit Margin (NPM) a valid measure of CEO Cash Compensation?: A Comparative Analysis on TSX/S&P and NYSE Companies', International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research, Volume 3, Issue 8 (September).
- Nulla, Yusuf Mohammed (2013), 'CEO, CEO/Chairman Duality, and Compensation: An Empirical Study of Toronto Stock Exchange Companies', International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research, Volume 4, Issue 2 (February).
- Nulla, Yusuf Mohammed (2013), 'An Examination of CEO Compensation System in the Toronto Stock Exchange (TSX/S&P) Retail Companies', International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research, Volume 4, Issue 2 (Feburary).
- Nulla, Yusuf Mohammed (2013), 'The Effect of Return on Assets (ROA) on CEO Compensation

 System in TSX/S&P and NYSE Indexes Companies', International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research, Volume 4, Issue 2 (February).
- Pavlik, Ellen L., Scott, Thomas W., and Tiessen, Peter (1993), 'Executive Compensation: Issues and Research', Journal of Accounting Literature, Vol. 12, pp. 131-189.
- Porac, Joseph F., Pollock, Timothy G., and Wade, James B. (1997), 'Worth words and the justification of executive pay', Journal of organizational Behaviour, Vol. 18, pp. 641-664.
- Ronen, Joshua, and Sadan, Simcha (1981), "Smoothing Income Numbers: Objectives, Means, and Implications", Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass.
- Shaw, Kenneth W., and Zhang, May H. (2010), 'Is CEO Cash Compensation Punished for Poor Firm Performance?', The Accounting Review, Vol. 85, No. 3, pp. 1065-1093.
- Sloan, R. (1993), 'Accounting Earnings and Top Executive Compensation', Journal of Accounting and Economics, Vol. 16, pp. 55-100.
- Trueman, B. and Titman, S. (1988), 'An Explanation for Accounting Income Smoothing, Journal of Accounting Research, Vol. 26, pp. 127-139.
- Verrechia, R. (1986), 'Managerial Discretion in the Choice among Financial Reporting Alternatives', Journal of Accounting and Economics (1986), Vol. 8, pp. 175-195.
- Warfield, T. D., and Wild, J. J. (1992), 'Accounting recognition and the relevance of earnings as an explanatory variable for returns', Accounting Review, Vol. 67, pp. 821-842.

Appendix

Operational Hypothesis Statement

 H_0 : There is no relationship between the CEO compensation, CEO age, and accounting performance in NYSE index companies.

H₁: There is a relationship between the CEO compensation, CEO age, and accounting performance in NYSE index companies.

To address this operational hypothesis statement, separate models were developed for each dependent varia

Accounting Performance

```
For Salary: Y_3=C+B_1X_1+B_2X_2+B_3X_3+B_4X_4+B_5X_5+B_6X_6+B_7X_7+B_8X_8+\epsilon
For Bonus: Y_4=C+B_1X_1+B_2X_2+B_3X_3+B_4X_4+B_5X_5+B_6X_6+B_7X_7+B_8X_8+\epsilon
```

 $(Y_1=Salary; Y_2=Bonus; c=constant predictor; B_1=influential factor for Return on Assets (ROA); B_2=influential factor for Return on Equity (ROE); B_3=influential factor for Earnings per Share (EPS); B_4=influential factor for Cash Flow per Share (CFPS); B_5=influential factor for Net Profit Margin (NPM); B_6=influential factor for Common Shares Outstanding (CSO); B_7=influential factor for Book Value of Common Shares Outstanding (BVCSO); B_8=influential factor for Market Value of Common Share Outstanding (MVCSO); and <math>\epsilon=error$)

Let X_1 =Value of ROA; X_2 =Value of ROE; X_3 =Value of EPS; X_4 =Value of CFPS; X_5 =Value of NPM; X_6 =Value of CSO; X_7 =Value of BVCSO; X_7 =Value of MVCSO.

All eighteen models assumed to have a confidence level (α) of 5 percent.